ORIGIN



1	BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORASION COMMISSION SOLVE					
2	COMMISSIONERS DOCKETED 301W					
3	JEFF HATCH-MILLER Chairman WILLIAM A. MUNDELL MARC SPITZER MAY 2 7 2005					
4	MIKE GLEASON KRISTIN K. MAYES DOCKETED BY DOCKETED BY					
5						
6	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF DOCKET NO. W-02113A-04-0616 CHAPARRAL CITY WATER COMPANY, INC.,					
7	AN ARIZONA CORPORATION, FOR A) DETERMINATION OF THE CURRENT FAIR)					
8	VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT AND PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS STAFF'S NOTICE OF FILING WITNESS SUMMARIES					
9	RATES AND CHARGES FOR UTILITY SERVICE) BASED THEREON.)					
10	BASED THEREON.					
11						
12	Staff of the Arizona Corporation Commission hereby files the Witness Summary of Alejandro					
13	Ramirez, of the Utilities Division in the above-referenced matter.					
14						
15	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 27 th day of May, 2005.					
16	David Ronald					
17	David M. Ronald					
18	Attorney, Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission					
19	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007					
20	(602) 542-3402					
21	The original and thirteen (13) copies of the foregoing were filed this					
22	27 th day of May, 2005 with:					
23	Docket Control So So N					
24	1200 West Washington Street					
25	Phoenix, Arizona 85007 Copy of the foregoing were mailed					
26	this 27" day of May, 2005 to:					
27	26 26					
28						

13	
1	Norman D. James, Esq.
2	Jay L. Shapiro, Esq. FENNEMORE CRAIG
	3003 North Central Avenue
3	Suite 2600 Phoenix, Arizona 85012
4	Attorneys for Chaparral City Water Company
5	Scott S. Wakefield, Chief Counsel
6	RUCO 1110 West Washington Street
7	Suite 220 Phoenix, Arizona 85007
8	Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel
9	Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission
10	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007
11	,
	Lyn Farmer, Chief Hearing Officer Hearing Division
12	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street
13	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
14	Ernest Johnson, Director
15	Utilities Division Arizona Corporation Commission
16	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007
17	
18	Unada Dennett
19	Angela (I). Bennett secretary to David M. Ronald
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

SUMMARY OF THE DIRECT AND SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONIES OF

STAFF WITNESS ALEJANDRO RAMIREZ IN THE RATE CASE FILED BY CHAPARRAL CITY WATER COMPANY, INC. DOCKET NO. DOCKET NO. W-02113A-04-0616

Staff's Comments on the Testimony of Company Witness Thomas Bourassa.

Mr. Bourassa's recommendation to determine the Company's earnings requirement by multiplying the ROR by the Fair Value Rate Base ("FVRB") rather than multiplying the ROR by the Original Cost Rate Base ("OCRB") and solving for a ROR that, when applied to the FVRB, produces the same dollar level of earnings, should be rejected for the following reasons:

- 1. If Mr. Bourassa's recommendation was adopted the Company and its investors would receive a windfall gain at the expense of Arizona consumers.
- 2. If Mr. Bourassa's recommendation was adopted, and Chaparral City Water FVRB was smaller than its original cost rate base ("OCRB"), the Company would expect to earn *less* than the cost of capital on its investment.
- 3. If Chaparral City Water FVRB was smaller than its OCRB and the market-based ROR was multiplied by the FVRB to determine earnings, the Company would not expect to be able to maintain its credit.

Staff's Recommended Rate of Return

Staff recommends the following rate of return:

			Weighted
	Weight	Cost	Cost
Debt	41.2%	5.1%	2.1%
Equity	58.8%	9.3%	5.5%
Cost of Capital/ROR			7.6%

Staff's recommended rate of return ("ROR") is based on its updated return on equity ("ROE") recommendation, its updated cost of debt recommendation, and updated capital structure.

Staff's ROE recommendation is based on the results of its updated discounted cash flow ("DCF") and capital asset pricing model ("CAPM") cost of equity estimates, shown below:

Model	Estimate
Discounted Cash Flow	9.3%
Capital Asset Pricing Model	9.2%
Average	9.3%

SUMMARY OF THE DIRECT AND SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONIES OF

STAFF WITNESS ALEJANDRO RAMIREZ IN THE RATE CASE FILED BY CHAPARRAL CITY WATER COMPANY, INC. DOCKET NO. DOCKET NO. W-02113A-04-0616

Staff's Comments on the Testimony of Company Witness Thomas M. Zepp.

The Commission should reject Dr. Zepp's ROE estimates for the following reasons:

- 1. Dr. Zepp's exclusive reliance on analysts' forecasts in his restatement of Staff's discounted cash flow ("DCF") analysis is inappropriate because it assumes that investors ignore other information such as past growth.
- 2. The Commission should *not* rely on Dr. Zepp's restatement of Staff's constant growth DCF estimate because Dr. Zepp relies solely on analysts' forecast which causes inflated growth, thus, inflated cost of equity estimates.
- 3. The Commission should *not* rely on Dr. Zepp's restatement of Staff's multistage DCF estimate because Dr. Zepp misapplies Staff's br growth projections, and his assumptions are speculative.
- 4. The Commission should *not* rely on interest rate "projections" made by professional analysts because "the direction of interest rates cannot be predicted any better than by a flip of a coin." Analysts who project interest rates do not have any more information than what is already reflected in the current rate.
- 5. The Commission should *not* rely on Dr. Zepp's restatement of Staff's CAPM estimate because Dr. Zepp incorrectly uses the forecast of long-term treasury bond as his risk-free rate which results on upwardly biased estimates.
- 6. The "risk premium" analysis presented by Dr. Zepp should be rejected because (1) it relies on analysts' forecasts of future interest rates, (2) it relies on past accounting returns on equity and past authorized returns on equity which cannot be meaningfully compared to the cost of equity.
- 7. Dr. Zepp's proposal for additional basis points due to unique risk should be rejected because it is (1) inconsistent with financial theory, and (2) Dr. Zepp has not demonstrated that these risks affect the cost of equity for Chaparral City.